

NYS Department of State Office of Planning and Development  
Environmental Protection Fund Local Waterfront Revitalization Program (EPF LWRP)  
2015 – 2016 Request for Applications RFA #15-LWRP-6

Questions & Answers – June 23, 2015

The responses to questions included herein are official responses by the Department of State to questions submitted by potential applicants and are hereby incorporated into Request for Applications RFA #15-LWRP-6 issued on May 1, 2015. In the event of any conflict between the RFA and these responses, the requirements or information contained in these responses will prevail.

General

**Q1:** The application deadline is open until July 31, 2015, but many workshops have yet to be held across the State. Can more time be given for the submittal of questions on the RFA?

**A1:** Yes, through this response document, the deadline for questions is extended to July 10, 2015.

**Q2:** Is additional information about LWRP grants available in other formats?

**A2:** The RFA is posted at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html> A PPT and webinar for 2015 EPF LWRP grants can be accessed at <http://regionalcouncils.ny.gov/genericcfa/2015-cfa-materials> When at the webpage, look under Community Development, then just below Department of State.

Eligibility

**Q3:** I attended the CFA workshop at the Empire State Plaza in Albany. The Town of Glenville submitted an application for Local Waterfront Revitalization Program (LWRP) planning funding in the 2014 CFA Round IV competition for a Mohawk River Trail Feasibility Study. Is funding for a planning activity, in this case a trail extension feasibility analysis, an eligible activity in this CFA round?

**A3:** Yes, such an activity would be eligible under Planning or Constructing Land and Water-based Trails. See page 6 of the RFA.

**Q4:** The Route 11 Corridor Study (in the Cortland County LWRP) was completed with significant public input. The communities are poised to proceed with implementing the recommendations of the study. Specifically, the Village of Homer and Town of Cortlandville are interested in partnering in an application

for LWRP funding to create a linear park along the Tioughnioga River. Private property owners have indicated they would be willing to negotiate the transfer of the property to the Village of Homer once cleanup work is completed (estimated 2017). Other property owners are working with the Village and aware of their desire to create a linear park along the waterfront. Given that it may be difficult to complete environmental review, purchase, and development components within the 3-year timeframe of the grant, would all of the following be eligible under the LWRP for a planning phase:

- 1) Environmental assessments
- 2) Appraisals
- 3) Required legal work to prepare such items as purchase agreements
- 4) Design - For the design work, can we include construction documents?

**A4:** Eligible activities include project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing needed to advance eligible activities, and an eligible village, town, city, or county with the consent and on behalf of one or more villages, towns or cities, currently preparing a LWRP or component, or with an approved LWRP or component could apply for funding for these activities. See page 3 of the RFA. Additionally, subsequent phases of a project, (i.e., Construction) must be on public property, or where a permanent public interest such as conservation easement has been established. See page 11 of the RFA, under VI. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS.

#### Local Match

**Q5:** NYC DPR is looking at how feasible it would be to apply for LWRP funding for a Harlem River Watershed Plan (Bronx side). Could you explain what your expectations are for the local match? For example, could the match be existing council member funding for an implementation project in the watershed? While the DOS funding is for a watershed characterization study? This is similar to the Alley Creek Watershed Plan DPR completed.

**A5:** The scope of the project applied for would have to encompass both project activities, and the source of the local match cannot be any of the items listed in the RFA as ineligible. Additionally, in the case of the Alley Creek Watershed Planning and Restoration, the application was to develop a watershed plan, while at the same time implementing restoration related to other plans, including the NYC Waterfront Revitalization Program. A similar approach could be taken for the Harlem River, or other water bodies or waterways, provided the water body is within New York's coastal zone or a designated inland waterway defined in Executive Law, Article 42, Section 911. Please refer to RFA, VI. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS, beginning on page 11, Eligible Costs and Ineligible Costs. Note that costs that will neither be accepted as the required local match nor reimbursed with grant funds are listed.

#### Other

**Q6:** For a planning grant, which would include design and construction documents, is it sufficient to have letters of intent from property owners because the municipality will not have an ownership interest in the properties at this time?

**A6:** Yes, letters of intent to transfer land ownership are acceptable, and will be accepted through upload via the CFA portal only. Be aware that there is not a question specific to letters of intent or support, so you will need to upload a combined PDF in response to one of the required attachment questions. However, subsequent phases of a project, (i.e., Construction) must be on public property, or where a permanent public interest, such as a conservation easement, has been established. See page 11 of the RFA, under VI. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS.

#### Questions & Answers UPDATED 7/13/15

**Q7:** The Town of Brookhaven is considering a project that would include the repair and/or construction of Jetties for our North Shore (Mt. Sinai) area, and a separate project for the south shore (Patchogue) area. I have reviewed the criteria for LWRP, but do not see that this type of project would fit the criteria listed. There is beach erosion, sediment buildup, etc. North shore is within the North shore heritage area and there is an existing WMP; and for the south shore – the south shore estuary reserve. I thought the projects might possibly fit into the Resiliency program, but after reading the guidelines again I feel it is not eligible.

Would you happen to know of any programs that might be suitable for this type of project?

**A7:** The Town would be eligible to apply for planning funding under the category “Preparing or Implementing a Community Resilience Strategy or Updating an LWRP to Incorporate Resilience Strategy”, described in the EPF LWRP RFA.

Regarding other potential funding sources, the CFA resource guide contains descriptions of funding programs made available through multiple agencies in the 2015 Available CFA Resources guide at <http://regionalcouncils.ny.gov/> or directly at [https://www.ny.gov/sites/ny.gov/files/atoms/files/RESOURCES\\_AVAILABLE\\_GUIDE\\_FINAL\\_V3.pdf](https://www.ny.gov/sites/ny.gov/files/atoms/files/RESOURCES_AVAILABLE_GUIDE_FINAL_V3.pdf)

**Q8:** Two communities along the southern end of Keuka Lake, Village of Hammondsport and the Town of Urbana, are currently preparing the Hammondsport-Urbana Waterfront Master Plan with funding through the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) Area Development Program administered through the Department of State. The draft waterfront master plan will be completed in a few weeks. The process of developing the plan included public outreach accomplished through stakeholder meetings, a community visioning workshop, a planning & design workshop, and a community meeting/open house. Would the Town and Village be eligible for EPF LWRP funding to implement projects identified in the Hammondsport-Urbana Waterfront Master Plan, such as project-specific planning, feasibility, design, or construction?

**A8:** Based on the understanding of the process involved in developing the plan, including public involvement and visioning, and the content of the plan, it appears the waterfront master plan could be considered a relevant component of an LWRP. See “Eligible Activities” on page 4 of the RFA.

**Q9:** The Village of Hoosick Falls received a Greenway Grant that they want to use as part of the local match. Do they need to wait until an LWRP award announcement before they start work using the Greenway Funds or is there still a one year retroactive on professional services?

**A9:** If the Village's application is successful, the earliest contract start date is April 1, 2015. Costs incurred prior to that date would not be eligible for reimbursement, or as local match. Work performed under a Greenway grant could serve as local match unless the source is federal funds or other EPF. The activities must be eligible activities indicated in the EPF LWRP RFA, and the activities would need to be within the scope of work described in the LWRP grant application.

**Q10:** Is grant administration and eligible cost?

**A10:** Yes, grant administration is an eligible cost, as indicated on page 12 of the RFA, under "Eligible Costs." Grant administration includes costs for preparation of reports, vouchers and contract related administration required by the Department. Grant administration may not exceed 15% of the award amount or \$50,000, whichever is less.

**Q11:** The City of Hudson has an LWRP, however it has not yet been approved/adopted by DOS. While we are waiting for that approval, may we apply for LWRP funds through the CFA?

**A11:** Yes, based on the City of Hudson's substantially completed LWRP, the City is eligible to apply for EPF LWRP funding to implement its LWRP, or conduct additional planning through the CFA.

#### Eligibility

**Q12:** Is a local municipality located on Long Island Sound that is currently conducting an engineering study of its roads and street drains for reconstruction, repair and runoff mitigation to prevent storm water from reaching Long Island Sound eligible for this grant opportunity? The municipality plans on commencing construction immediately upon completing the engineering study and receiving funds from a bond issue

**A12:** An engineering study of roads and street drains alone would not qualify as a substantially completed LWRP or relevant component necessary to apply for implementation funding.

**Q13:** Does a bond issue qualify for match reimbursement funding from this grant as well as municipal reserves and a potential taxpayer assessment?

**A13:** Bonding, municipal reserves and tax assessment would each be considered cash contribution and eligible as local match, however, interest paid would not be considered eligible as match.

**Q14:** Does a municipality that is pursuing the repair, reconstruction and improvement of its streets and storm drain system need an approved LWRP in order to apply for this grant?

**A14:** Yes, a substantially completed LWRP or relevant component would be necessary to apply for implementation funding.

**Q15:** The Roslyn Landmark Society with the Village of Roslyn and County of Nassau are currently in the process of submitting an application for the Local Waterfront Revitalization Program. We have started the application, the threshold questions and would like to know if you could tell us what the required documents are. We would like to plan in advance and have them prepared before we get into the specific questions and need to upload. Any help you can provide would be greatly appreciated.

**A15:** The two required attachments are a project location map and the Budget Summary & Detail table. The budget detail table can be downloaded as a spreadsheet at (<http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>) under fillable forms. When completed, it should be saved as a PDF prior to uploading to the CFA when prompted.

The questions that requests submittal of required attachments (viewable at [https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/assets/programquestions/CFA\\_Questions\\_Program\\_293.pdf](https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/assets/programquestions/CFA_Questions_Program_293.pdf) and ) are:

“Q\_3767 Submit one or more map(s), to scale, identifying the project location(s) or delineating the project area. If the project is to prepare or implement a lakewide or watershed revitalization plan, depict on the map the approximate landward extent of the watershed.”

“Q\_3769 Complete a DOS Budget Summary & Detail table to demonstrate eligible costs and required local match (see help).”

**Q16:** I noticed in the CFA packet for LWRP, it states that the earliest start date of contracts is April 1, 2015. Does that mean that if we have a planning project underway now, for transit oriented development along the Tarrytown waterfront, and we apply for match funding for the planning and DOS does approve the grant application, that we could get reimbursement for planning components of the project that were undertaken after April 2015 and before a potential LWRP award announcement?

**A16:** If the Village’s application for EFP LWRP grant is successful, a contract with the State could have a start date of April 1, 2015. To be eligible for reimbursement for components that were undertaken after April 1, 2015, the planning activities would need to be eligible as defined in the EPF LWRP RFA.

**Q17:** Are the questions in the CFA application available outside the application? I would like to view the questions before beginning to fill out the application.

**A17:** Yes questions for each of the funding programs, including the LWRP grant program, can be viewed at <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/> Click on Program Application Questions, or go directly to <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/help.cfm?section=programquestions>

**Q18:** In 2008 the Village of Catskill completed a Downtown and Waterfront Revitalization Strategy funded by the Greene County IDA. With DEC and Scenic Hudson help, the Village completed its Resilient Catskill: Report of the Catskill Waterfront Resilience Task Force in 2014. A BOA Nomination Study was also completed in 2014. Design of Downtown Streetscape Enhancements (covering a portion of Catskill's core and the Catskill Creek in the Village center) was finished in 2013, under a Greene County LWRP grant. The County is also close to the construction phase of a trail design and construction contract in the same Village center area under an EPF LWRP grant for Design and Construct Historic Catskill Downtown and Waterfront Enhancements. The question arose as to whether these documents constitute the visioning portion of an LWRP and, if so, whether they might qualify as the necessary "relevant component substantially completed" to be eligible for implementation funds.

**A18:** The Town and Village of Catskill have a substantially completed Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, and as such can apply for EPF LWRP funding to implement the plan through the CFA. The Town or Village also are eligible to apply to update their substantially complete LWRP to address current/emerging issues, new priorities and projects, including but not limited to folding in more recent planning work, such as the Catskill Downtown & Waterfront Revitalization Strategy and the Resilient Catskill: Roadmap for Catskill's Waterfront. Details on EPF LWRP grants can be found in the RFA at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>

#### Local Match

**Q19:** The Village of Clayton has received funding from NYS Dept of Transportation to design and construct the next phase of the Riverwalk. We refer to it as Riverwalk 3. The Village has received just over \$1.3 million in funding from NYS DOT that is Federal Highway money. The Village has committed a little over \$400,000 as a cash match to the NYS DOT funding. We have discovered that because of the regulations of DOT that we are going to have a shortfall on the project of around \$300,000. This shortfall will be in the construction portion of the project. We would like to apply to NYS DOS LWRP Implementation Program in the 2015 CFA to try to cover this short fall. Is there a way we can use the current cash match or the NYS DOT funding? There is the possibility of using the value of the 11 easements we will be obtaining, however, I will not have that value documented by a professional before the application deadline.

**A19:** If the source of the DOT funding is federal dollars, they cannot be used as match for an LWRP grant application. Local funds that have been dedicated to serve as required match for a NYSDOT or FHA grant cannot serve as required local match for a DOS EPF LWRP grant. The value of easements may be an eligible for use as local match.

**Q20:** I am engaged by and writing on behalf of the City of Glens Falls (CGF) and the Glens Falls Board of Water and Sewer Commissioners. The Glens Falls water district serves a population of approximately 15,000 residents and an estimated 400 businesses in Glens Falls and adjacent areas of the Town of Queensbury. The source of the supply is five surface water reservoirs located wholly or partly with in the Towns of Queensbury, Lake George and Lake Luzerne. Four of the reservoirs are "mountainside"

impoundments with substantially undeveloped watersheds. The fifth is a lower elevation impoundment with a land drainage basin that has undergone much more development and modification from human activity.

CGF owns the property around the reservoirs and portions of their watersheds (CGF watershed lands). CGF has held the property as protected watershed excluding recreational use of the property so as to preserve the water quality. Even so, there has been an extensive amount of human activity within these areas from unlawful entry.

Whether from governmental activities (roads and highways) private development or unauthorized use, the watershed is displaying many of the problems that contribute to water quality degradation. The CGF also contains important habitat for species known to be of concern.

There has been public interest and advocacy for establishing a set of passive recreation trails through the watershed. The trails would have all of the benefits of recreational trails and offer opportunities for interconnectivity with existing trail and recreational networks. However, there is concern by the trustees of the CGF watershed about the potential adverse effects on water quality of such a use. There is also uncertainty about the manner and methods for intergovernmental management and funding of a trail system.

There has never been a watershed management plan prepared. Nor has there ever been an assessment of the condition of the reservoirs.

Here is an interesting fact. Three of the upper reservoirs and the lower one are in the Lake Champlain Basin. The remaining upper reservoir (less than a mile from the others at the closest point) is in the Hudson River drainage basin. I live in Glens Falls about a mile from the Hudson River and my house is in the Lake Champlain/St Lawrence River drainage basin.

There is a need for a watershed management plan (step 1) and if it is found acceptable under conditions of the plan, a need for planning and design of a public trail system (step 2) including working out all the inter-municipal arrangements.

My question is, do you see anything that would disqualify such a plan for funding under this round of CFA grants? Your help on this might allow time to coordinate with the other municipalities and start forward on a really good thing.

**A20:** Eligibility relates to designated inland waterways or coastal waterbodies. The current list of designated inland waterways can be viewed at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>

**Q21:** I am inquiring about the CFA DOS grant process on behalf of Keuka Lake Association (includes parts of Yates and Steuben counties, and the villages of Penn Yan, Hammondsport, and Branchport). We are interested in updating our Watershed Plan (created in the mid 90's). Would you be able to confirm a couple of points for us?

1. Applicant, registration, and grant preparation – Section II, page 3
  - a. Does the county or village need to register, or may we register on its behalf?

b. If the county or village is required to register, is Keuka Lake Association able to use their registration in order to complete the application, or is only the county/village able to use the system?

c. Workshops – may Keuka Lake association directors attend the workshop or is only available for county/village? Would someone from the county/village be required to attend a workshop?

2. Project –Section V, p. 7 - is an update of our watershed plan based on the change in environment and invasive species in the past 20 years a just proposal?

3. Cost share – can you confirm that the cost share requirement is 100% of the grant funding (i.e. 50% of the total project cost?)

**A21:** Technically, eligible applicants are only towns, villages, cities, or counties on behalf of one or more towns, villages, cities located along NY's coasts or designated inland waterways. See eligible applicants in the RFA. See page 2 of the RFA section II. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

There is no requirement to attend the CFA workshops. The workshops are open to the public. Anyone can attend.

An update to a watershed plan would be an eligible activity under Preparing or Implementing a Lakewide or Watershed Revitalization Plan. See page 7 of the EPF LWRP RFA.

Yes, the grants are reimbursement based, with the State reimbursing up to 50 percent of total eligible project costs.

**Q22:** I am writing to find out if NYS Council on the Arts - Arts, Culture and Heritage New Initiatives - Planning (Round 5) funding (currently available through the open CFA) would be acceptable as a match for EPF/LWRP funding. I know some sources of federal funding are not allowable as a match.

I have an email and call in to NYSCA but in the meantime this is the only thing I've been able to find about the source of the funding... "This Local Assistance support is provided under Article 3 of NYS Arts and Cultural Affairs Law for the study of and presentation of the performing and fine arts; surveys and capital investments to encourage participation of the arts; to encourage public interest in the cultural heritage of the state; and to promote tourism by supporting arts and cultural projects." I interpret this to mean that its state funding and not federal... in which case would it count for the match...?

**A22:** NYS Council on the Arts funding could potentially serve as local match for an EPF LWRP grant provided that funding is not EPF funding or federal assistance and provided the funding is for the purpose of carrying out activities that indicated as eligible activities in the EPF LWRP RFA and within the LWRP grant application's project scope.

**Q23:** The Town of Brant in Erie County has an approved LWRP from 1988, for which I've included the link below [http://docs.dos.ny.gov/communitieswaterfronts/LWRP/Brant\\_T/Index.html](http://docs.dos.ny.gov/communitieswaterfronts/LWRP/Brant_T/Index.html). The Town has an opportunity to purchase waterfront land on Lake Erie through a private landowner for the development of a public park. This would be the only public access to the lake in the Town of Brant. A bargain sale is

being negotiated, but not finalized. I know we can't apply for grant funding for the acquisition, but can use it as a local match for the development of the park.

May we use our existing LWRP plan to apply for development funds of this vacant parcel under the current round due 7/31, or will be required to update it first and apply for the park development funds in the next round? My other thought, if you found this acceptable, was to have the Town Board reaffirm the plan so that we don't lose valuable time for this acquisition and development. Your suggestions and comments would be greatly appreciated.

**A23:** The Town can apply for EPF LWRP grant through the current CFA round for project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing needed to advance eligible

activities or construction of projects needed to advance eligible activities based on its completed LWRP. Please see details in the EPF LWRP RFA at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>

### Volunteer Services

**Q24:** In reviewing the RFA, I noted the language on Volunteer Services included on Page 11. Am I correct in interpreting this as Project Advisory Committee member hours can be valued at \$28.73 per hour?

**A24:** Provided the project advisory committee members perform in an active capacity, not as mere observers, they may be valued at up to \$28.73 per hour

**Q25:** Are letters of support from project partners or others required for EPF LWRP grants? Whether required or not, how can letters of support be submitted?

**A25:** Letters of support from project partners or others are not required for an EPF LWRP grant application. However letters of support for EPF LWRP projects may be submitted with an application through the CFA portal. Such can be submitted in answer to the following non required attachment question:

"Q\_1112 Submit site plans and design drawings, photographs of existing site conditions, as applicable, and if available, to support your Project Work Program."

**Q26:** Are resolutions required with an EPF LWRP grant application?

**A26:** No, however a resolution(s) may be submitted with an application through the CFA portal. Such can be submitted in answer to the following non required attachment question: "Q\_1112 Submit site plans and design drawings, photographs of existing site conditions, as applicable, and if available, to support your Project Work Program."

A resolution will be required of a successful applicant prior to execution of an EPF LWRP contract with the State.

**Q27:** Are School Districts considered "Eligible Entities" in this round?

**A27:** School Districts are not eligible applicants for EPF LWRP grants.

**Q28:** Is a community college eligible for the funding?

**A28:** No, a community college is not an eligible applicant for EPF LWRP grants. See eligible applicants, page 3 of 22 in the 2015 EPF LWRP RFA at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>

**Q29:** Regarding development funding for lands on a state watershed or tributary, how will I know if I am qualified?

**A29:** An applicant must be an eligible applicant as defined on page 3 in the 2015 EPF LWRP RFA at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/index.html>. The list of coastal waterbodies and designated waterways also can be viewed at the above link. Additionally, eligibility is related to whether an applicant wishes to conduct general planning, project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing, construction. The RFA further describes activities that applicants can apply for funding to carry out.

**Q30:** Waterfront Revitalization listing does not include/contain the Chenango River – can you explain why?

**A30:** Designated Inland Waterways are defined in Article 42 of the Executive Law. The list posted at the Department's website simply reflects the status at the time. There is a process to add waterways to the list, which includes bills proposed by sponsors in the Senate and the Assembly, followed by signing by the Governor. You may contact the Department of State for further details on the process.

**Q31:** Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, what needs to be done for water front projects?

**A31:** As indicated in the EPF LWRP RFA, eligibility for implementation relates to prior planning. To be eligible for implementation funding (including project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing or construction), town, village, city, or county on behalf of one or more towns, villages, cities, would have to have prior planning as indicated in page 3 of the RFA [http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/2015%20EPF%20LWRP%20RFA\\_FINAL\\_AttA\\_MWBE.pdf](http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/2015%20EPF%20LWRP%20RFA_FINAL_AttA_MWBE.pdf)

For project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing needed to advance eligible activities, an applicant must be a village, town, city, or county with the consent and on behalf of one or more villages, towns or cities, currently preparing a LWRP or component, or with an approved LWRP or component.

For construction of projects needed to advance eligible activities, an applicant must be a village, town, city with an approved LWRP or the relevant LWRP component substantially completed, or a county with the consent and on behalf of one or more eligible village, town, or city with an approved LWRP or relevant LWRP component substantially completed.

**Q32:** Do not-for-profit entities have access to the CFA application info that municipalities put together for the Waterfront Revitalization?

**A32:** Not-for-profits are not eligible to apply for EPF LWRP grants. Eligible applicants are villages, towns, or cities, and counties (with the consent and on behalf of one or more villages, towns, or cities) which are located along New York's coasts or inland waterways designated pursuant to Executive Law, Article 42.

Not-for-profits can view questions for each of the funding programs, including the LWRP grant program, at <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/> Click on Program Application Questions, or go directly to <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/help.cfm?section=programquestions>.

**Q33:** How do you address the MWBE requirement if you don't know who you are hiring?

**A33:** MWBE requirements are described in attachments to the EPF LWRP RFA, including attachment forms at [http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/2015%20EPF%20LWRP%20RFA\\_FINAL\\_AttA\\_MWBE.pdf](http://www.dos.ny.gov/funding/rfa-15-lwrp-6/2015%20EPF%20LWRP%20RFA_FINAL_AttA_MWBE.pdf). Other than the certification question in the application, the requirements do not need to be met as part of the application process, but relate to requirements for successful applicants who enter into a contract with the State.

**Q34:** For existing waterfront projects, how far back would funding be available?

**A34:** April 1, 2015 is the earliest start date for a contract. Costs incurred prior to that date would not be eligible for reimbursement.

**Q35:** How big is a full LWRP application?

**A35:** We encourage applicants to prepare Local Waterfront Revitalization Programs in components, or phases. Details on LWRP'S can be viewed at <http://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/lwrp.html>

The questions specific to EPF LWRP grants can be viewed at <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/> Click on Program Application Questions, or go to <https://apps.cio.ny.gov/apps/cfa/help.cfm?section=programquestions>.

**Q36:** There is a lighthouse which requires a dock. We are buying two separate entities. Can we apply?

**A36:** If there is an LWRP plan in place, an eligible applicant can apply to implement recommendations in the plan.

**Q37:** A community doesn't yet have a waterfront revitalization plan. Are they eligible for funding?

**A37:** Without an LWRP or relevant component, a village, town, or city, or county (with the consent and on behalf of one or more villages, towns, or cities) which are located along New York's coasts or inland waterways designated pursuant to Executive Law, Article 42, may apply for EPF LWRP grant for general planning needed to advance any of the eligible activities listed in the EPF LWRP RFA.

**Q38:** If you have an LWRP project in the works, can you still apply?

**A38:** Yes, having an active EPF LWRP contract does not prevent applying for funds for additional projects.

**Q39:** Islip Planning Department has a boat slip that they can't use because dredging is needed. Would it be eligible for funding?

**A39:** Need alone would not make a project eligible for EPF LWRP funding. If the Town is currently preparing an LWRP, an application may be submitted for project-specific planning, feasibility, design, and/or marketing needed to advance eligible activities described in the EPF LWRP RFA provided the project is recommended in the Town's draft LWRP. If the LWRP is not that far along, the Town could identify the dredging as a priority in its LWRP, and apply for funding for project-specific planning, feasibility, design in a subsequent grant round.

**Q40:** Are there other municipal resolution requirements in addition to a municipality being required to do something on public land?

**A40:** A municipal authorizing resolution is not required with the EPF LWRP grant application, however a resolution(s) may be submitted with an application through the CFA portal. Such can be submitted in answer to the following non-required attachment question. "Q\_1112 Submit site plans and design drawings, photographs of existing site conditions, as applicable, and if available, to support your Project Work Program. An authorizing resolution will be required of a successful applicant prior to execution of an EPF LWRP contract with the State."