

## County Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan

1. *Inter municipal agreement between the county and all towns and villages to share Management Information Services (MIS):*  
This would allow towns and villages to use the counties MIS personal for WEB site design, data base management and other IT services rather than towns and villages contracting out at much higher rates of service.
2. *Inter municipal agreement between the county and all towns and villages to share Real Property data verification and revaluation services:*  
This would allow towns and villages to use the counties Real Property personal to perform data verification and revaluation services at a much lower cost than entering into contracts with outside firms to perform the same tasks at higher rates.
3. *Inter municipal agreement between the county and all towns and villages to share Human Resources training and in servicing on mandatory polices:*  
This would allow towns and villages to use the counties Human Resources personal to help in-service personal on their HR policies such as “Work Place Violence, Sexual Harassment, ect.
4. *Inter municipal agreement between the county and all towns and villages to provide paper and other office supplies.*  
This would allow all towns and villages to use the counties purchasing program to buy paper and office supplies at a much cheaper cost than if towns and villages were to purchase these items on their own.
5. *Inter municipal agreement between the county and all towns and villages to participate in the County’s ASO for health, medical and prescription plans:*  
If the state would amend their existing legislation and allow smaller municipalities, with fewer than 50 employees, to participate in stop loss insurance coverage, these smaller municipalities would be able to enroll in the county’s ASO plan. This would eliminate the need to continue on with their more expensive premium based health insurance plans.
6. *County to share county and city operations building center with Hudson City:*  
The County is considering purchasing the vacant Hudson School District Grammar School building and jointly sharing an operation center with the city of Hudson. This would reduce operational costs for energy, maintenance, sharing of common space and other building /operational costs. It would also bring both governments into ADA compliance.



## County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

### Appendix A

<b>County of Columbia</b>			
<b>County Contact:</b> Ron Caponera			
<b>Contact Telephone:</b> 518-828-8561 Ext 333			
<b>Contact Email:</b> <a href="mailto:Ronald.Caponera@columbiacountyny.com">Ronald.Caponera@columbiacountyny.com</a>			
<b>Partners</b>			
<b>Row 1 – 1 (total # of) Cities in County</b>			
	<b>Participating Cities</b>	<b>Panel Representative</b>	<b>Vote Cast (Yes or No)</b>
1.	<b>Hudson</b>	Rob Perry	Yes
2.			
3.			
<b>Row 2 – 18 (total # of) Towns in County</b>			
	<b>Participating Towns</b>	<b>Panel Representative</b>	<b>Vote Cast (Yes or No)</b>
1.	<b>Ancram</b>	Arthur Bassin	Yes
2.	<b>Austerlitz</b>	Robert Lagonia	Absent
3.	<b>Canaan</b>	Richard Keaveney	Yes
4.	<b>Chatham</b>	Maria Lull	Yes
5.	<b>Claverack</b>	Clifford Wiegelt	Yes
6.	<b>Clermont</b>	Raymond Staats	Absent
7.	<b>Copake</b>	Jeffrey Nayer	No
8.	<b>Gallatin</b>	John Reilly	Absent
9.	<b>Germantown</b>	Joel Craig	Yes
10.	<b>Ghent</b>	Michael Benvenuto	Yes
11.	<b>Greenport</b>	Edward Nabozny	Yes
12.	<b>Hillsdale</b>	Peter Cipkowski	Yes
13.	<b>Kinderhook</b>	Patrick Grattan	Yes
14.	<b>Livingston</b>	James Guzzi	Yes
15.	<b>New Lebanon</b>	Colleen Teal	Absent
16.	<b>Stockport</b>	Matt Murell	Yes



## County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

### Appendix A

17.	<b>Stuyvesant</b>	Ronald Knott	Yes
18.	<b>Taghkanic</b>	Ryan Skoda	Absent
19.			
20.			

Use Additional Sheets if necessary

#### Row 3 – 2 (total # of) Villages in County

	Participating Villages	Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)
1.	<b>Valatie</b>	Diane Argyle	Absent
2.	<b>Philmont</b>	Skip Speed	Absent
3.	<b>Kinderhook</b>	James Dunham	Absent
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

Use Additional Sheets if necessary

#### Row 4 – (total # of) School Districts, BOCES, and Special Improvement Districts in County

	Participating School Districts, BOCES, and Special Improvement Districts	Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			



## County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

### Appendix A

10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
16.			
17.			
18.			
19.			
20.			
<b>Use Additional Sheets if necessary</b>			
<b>Row 5</b>			
<b>2017 Local Government Property Taxes</b>	<b>The sum total of property taxes levied in the year 2017 by the county, cities, towns, villages, school districts, BOCES, and special improvement districts within such county.</b>		
	\$336,256,180		
<b>Row 6</b>			
<b>2017 Participating Entities Property Taxes</b>	<b>The sum total of property taxes levied in the year 2017 by the county, any cities, towns, villages, school districts, BOCES, and special improvements districts identified as participating in the panel in the rows above.</b>		
	\$76,142,792		
<b>Row 7</b>			
<b>Total Anticipated Savings</b>	<b>The sum total of net savings in such plan certified as being anticipated in calendar year 2018, calendar year 2019, and annually thereafter.</b>		
	\$1,880,000		



**County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary**

**Appendix A**

<b>Row 8</b>	
<b>Anticipated Savings as a Percentage of Participating Entities property taxes</b>	The sum total of net savings in such plan certified as being anticipated in calendar year 2018 as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6, calendar year 2019 as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6, and annually thereafter as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6.
	2.5%
<b>Row 9</b>	
<b>Anticipated Savings to the Average Taxpayer</b>	The amount of the savings that the average taxpayer in the county will realize in calendar year 2018, calendar year 2019, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	\$55.88
<b>Row 10</b>	
<b>Anticipated Costs/Savings to the Average Homeowner</b>	The percentage amount a homeowner can expect his or her property taxes to increase or decrease in calendar year 2018, calendar year 2019, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	2.5%
<b>Row 11</b>	
<b>Anticipated Costs/Savings to the Average Business</b>	The percentage amount a business can expect its property taxes to increase or decrease in calendar year 2018, calendar year 2019, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	2.5%
<b>CERTIFICATION</b>	
<p>I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that information provided is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. This is the finalized county-wide shared services property tax savings plan. The county-wide shared services property tax savings plan was approved on September 13, 2017, and it was disseminated to residents of the county in accordance with the County-wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Law.</p>	
<b>Matt Murell</b> _____ (Print Name)	<b>County Chief Executive Officer</b> _____
 _____ (Signature)	September 14, 2017 _____ (Date)