

RESOLUTION DETERMINING THAT THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION OF IMPROVEMENTS TO THE VILLAGE SEWER SYSTEM IN THE VILLAGE OF MARCELLUS, ONONDAGA COUNTY, NEW YORK CONSTITUTES A TYPE II ACTION UNDER THE NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT WHICH REQUIRES NO FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.

Introduced by Trustee Patrick Cox who moved its adoption, seconded by Trustee Sally Tallman.

WHEREAS, the Village Board of Trustees of the Village of Marcellus, Onondaga County, New York (the "Village") proposes to reconstruct and improve the Village sewer system, including the acquisition, construction, reconstruction and installation of sewer lines, buildings and other improvements, land or rights in land and original furnishings, equipment, machinery or apparatus required in connection therewith, in the Village (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law, as amended, known as the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York, being 6 NYCRR Part 617, as amended (the "Regulations"), the Village desires to comply with SEQRA and the Regulations with respect to the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Marcellus as follows:

1. The Village Board of Trustees hereby determines that the Project constitutes a "Type II" action which, under SEQRA and the Regulations, in particular 6 NYCRR Section 617.5(c)(2), will not have a significant effect on the environment. Accordingly, no further action under SEQRA is required.

2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

	<u>Ayes</u>	<u>Noes</u>
Mayor John Curtin	x	
Trustee Patrick Cox	x	
Trustee Sally Tallman	x	

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) SS.:
COUNTY OF ONONDAGA)

I, Clerk of the Village of Marcellus, Onondaga County, New York (the "Village"), DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

That I have compared the annexed abstract of the minutes of the meeting of the Village Board of Trustees, held on the 22nd day of October, 2012, including the resolution contained therein, with the original thereof on file in my office, and the same is a true and correct copy of said original and of the whole of said original so far as the same relates to the subject matters therein referred to.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the full Village Board of Trustees consists of three (3) members; that three (3) members of the Village Board were present at such meeting, that three (3) of such members voted in favor of the above resolution; and that the above resolution has not been amended or repealed.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that (i) all members of the Village Board of Trustees had due notice of the meeting, (ii) pursuant to Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (Open Meetings Law), such meeting was open to the general public, and due notice of the time and place of such meeting was duly given in accordance with Article 7 of the Public Officers Law, and (iii) the meeting was in all respects duly held.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Village this 22nd day of October, 2012.



Dawn O'Hara, Clerk
Village of Marcellus
Onondaga County, New York

(SEAL)

Appendix C

State Environmental Quality Review

SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART 1 – PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by Applicant or Project sponsor)

1. APPLICANT /SPONSOR Village of Marcellus	2. PROJECT NAME Marcellus Consolidated Sewer System Improvements
3. PROJECT LOCATION: Municipality: Village of Marcellus County: Onondaga	
4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map) Various locations in the Village and Town of Marcellus	
5. IS PROPOSED ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Expansion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modification/alteration	
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY: Rehabilitation of deficient portions of sewer to help address inflow and infiltration. Project work will include sewer lining along with spot repairs/replacement. Also will include minor improvements at WWTP to treat for phosphorus.	
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED: Initially : 0.25 acres Ultimately : 0.25 acres	
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If no, describe briefly	
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Park/Forest/Open space <input type="checkbox"/> Other Describe:	
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL OR FUNDING NOW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, list agency(s) and permit/approvals Approval - New York State DEC Funding - Local Government Efficiency (LGE) grant	
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, list agency name and permit/approval	
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE	
Applicant/sponsor name: Mayor John P. Curtin	Date: 10/22/12
Signature: 	

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

OVER

1


PART II – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Agency)

A. DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.12? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
B. WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative declaration may be superseded by another involved agency. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
C. COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be hand written, if legible) C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems: Explain briefly: May experience some short-term elevated noise levels during the construction phase. C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly: No. Scope of work is primarily replacement in kind. C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly: No C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly: No C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action: Explain briefly: No. No system expansion proposed as part of this project C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly: N/A C7. Other Impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy? Explain briefly: N/A
D. WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREA (CEA)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, explain briefly
E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, explain briefly

PART III – DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency)

INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination and significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA.

- Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which **MAY** occur. Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.
- Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts **AND** provide on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination:

Village of Marcellus	10/22/12
Name of Lead Agency	Date
John P. Curtin	Mayor
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)